BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 GEORGE WELLER d/b/a WELLER CONSTRUCTION, Appellant, PCHB No. 86-41 5 ORDER OF DISMISSAL ٧. 6 PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION 7 CONTROL AGENCY, Respondent. 9

This matter comes before the Pollution Control Hearings Board on the Motion to Dismiss of respondent Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency (PSAPCA). The Agency asserts that the appeal herein was not properly filed within the time required by law and that, therefore, the Board lacks jurisdiction.

The Motion is based on the files herein. The order appealed was issued by PSAPCA on January 27, 1986, and received by appellant by certified mail on January 28, 1986.

The appeal was filed with this Board on February 28, 1986.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Detailed instructions on the filing of appeals were sent to appellant with the order at issue. These instructions included the following:

The original (and one copy) of the Notice of Appeal with proof of service is to be filed with the Pollution Control Hearings Board...within the thirty (30) day time period specified herein, pursuant to RCW 43.21B.230.

The Board, by letter, provided appellant an opportunity in which to respond to respondent's Motion to Dismiss. A response was made urging that we interpret the appeal period as comprising 30 working days rather than 30 calendar days.

Now, having considered the entire file in this matter, the Board reluctantly concludes that the Motion must be granted. The tnirty days provided for appeals from orders by statute are calendar days. RCW 43.21B.230. The time begins to run on the date the order is communicated to the appealing party. WAC 371-08-080.

The appeal itself can be initiated only by filing, within the time prescribed, with the tribunal established to hear such cases, namely this Board. The date of filing is the date of actual receipt by the Board. WAC 371-08-080. Timely filing with the Board is a jurisdictional requirement. Eckert Overseas Agency v. PSAPCA, PCHB No. 901 (1975). Here the appeal was received one day too late.

All persons are obliged to conform with the time requirements established by law. The Board is without discretion to alter the limitations on its jurisdiction. Therefore, this case should be, and

## CERTIFICATION OF MAILING

I, Phyllis R. Weaver, certify that I mailed, postage prepaid, copies of the foregoing document on the 23rd day of April, 1986, to each of the following-named parties at the last known post office addresses, with the proper postage affixed to the respective envelopes:

> George Weller, dba Weller Construction 714-22nd East Seattle, WA 98112

Keith D. McGoffin, Attorney McGoffin and McGoffin 818 South Yakıma Avenue Tacoma, WA 98405

Ron Busby **PSAPCA** P. O. Box 9863 Seattle, WA 98109

> PHYLLES R. WEAVER, Clerk of the POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

ORDER OF DISMISSAL PCHB No. 86-41

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	the same hereby is, dismissed.
2	SO ORDERED this 23rd day of April, 1986.
3	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
4	101:17 11 0
5	Wick Duffford, Lawyer Member
6	() () 4/23,
7	aulk " 186
8	LAWRENCE 1. FAULK, Chairman
9	6 . 0 -11
10	GAYLE ROTHROCK, Vice Chairman
11	OHIELD COMMONY VIOL CHAIRMAN
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	ORDER OF DISMISSAL

26 ORDER OF DISMISSAL PCHB No. 86-41